

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/736,265 | 12/15/2003 | Battista Luca | 4173/15 3823 | | |
| 29858 | 7590 02/21/2006 | | EXAMINER . | | |
| BROWN, RA | AYSMAN, MILLSTE VENUE | WATSON, ROBERT C | | | |
| NEW YORK, | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| · | | 3723 | | | |
| | | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | ϵ | | | |
|--|---|--|--|------------|--|--|--|
| | Applic | ation No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 6,265 | LUCA ET AL. | | | | |
| | | ner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Rober | t C. Watson | 3723 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provise after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this country of the provided for the provided period for reality of the provided period for any reply received by the Office later than three mon earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(to the provided period patent term adjustment. | E MAILING DATE OF ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nommunication. In statutory period will apply a eply will, by statute, cause the ths after the mailing date of the | THIS COMMUNICATION of event, however, may a reply be tire and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from examplication to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) | filed on 03 January 2 | 2006. | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any of Replacement drawing sheet(s) included the second of | are: a) accepted o bjection to the drawing ding the correction is re | (s) be held in abeyance. Se quired if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C | • • | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None o 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation | f: rity documents have rity documents have es of the priority doc ational Bureau (PCT | been received. been received in Applicat uments have been receive Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National | Stage | | | |
| Attachmant(c) | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | O-152) | | | |

Claims 4, 11, and 17 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. These claims recite only details of an unclaimed workpiece and do not further recited details of the support device.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4, 6, 8-11,13-14, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Celette.

Celette shows a moveable frame for supporting an automobile body part. The various holders on the frame are capable of being translated both horizontally and vertically relative to the other holders in on the frame. The holder 15c which is a C-clamp is considered to be channel shaped. Statements of intended use such as details of the shape of the workpiece being held by the supporting frame has no patentable significance. The frame of Celette is designed to hold a wide variety of shapes of body parts. The frame of Celette is seen to be capable of holding the exact same shaped workpiece as is illustrated in applicant's Figure 2. An automobile part having a concavity such as a truck bed camper top is capable of being supported by the Celette frame.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 16, 17, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Celette in view of Hui.

Celette provides for a variety of interchangeable holder members depending on the shape of the automobile frame being supported.

Hui teaches a frame having plural support members 24 and plural engaging holders 22 for engaging an automobile part(s). Support member 24 is channel shaped and has a saddle 50 with angled flanges 38,40.

To provide in Celette holders that are channel shaped with a saddle and angled flanges would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Hui. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to provide a more positive holding engagement of the automobile frame member workpiece.

Claims 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Celette in view of Milwain.

Milwain teaches that an engaging holder may have a pin to releasably engage structure on the body that is used to secure the body to a vehicle. To provide pin engaging structure for the Celette frame to releasably engage structure on the body that is used to secure the body to a vehicle would have been obvious for one skilled in the

art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Milawain. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to conveniently lock or otherwise positively hold the automobile body to the frame.

Claims 7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Celette in view of Nillson.

Nillson teaches a frame having brackets for receiving a fork lift truck. To provide fork lift brackets on the Celette frame would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Nilsson. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to enable heavy, objects being supported in the frame to be readily transported with minimum manual effort.

Applicant's remarks have been given careful consideration. Applicant's newly claimed feature of the support frame being capable of holding a workpiece having a concavity facing downward is met by the newly applied references. However, applicant should realize that statements of intended use such as the shape of an unclaimed workpiece have no patentable significance. That being the case, applicant is claiming no more than wheeled object having two upward members. This is certainly overly broad and is structure that can be duplicated in a vast multitude of different environments. In fact, every truck driving down the road reads on applicant's claims since a truck bed is a movable frame that holds a camper top having a downward facing concavity.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,265 Page 5

Art Unit: 3723

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 571 272-4498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs. , 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,265 Page 6

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rcw

ROBERT C. WATSON PRIMARY EXAMINER